

Compton effect: interacting particles or interacting waves.

Oscar F. Hernández^[1]

oscarh@physics.mcgill.ca

*Physics Department, McGill University,
3600 University St.,
Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3A 2T8.*

Abstract: *Traditional textbook explanations of the Compton effect treat the photon electron interaction as a particle collision. This explanation is a pedagogical disaster, implying that sometimes interactions are particle-like whereas quantum mechanics always demands that they be wave-like; a photon wavefunction evolves according to a wave equation until its collapse at measurement. If this is so why then does the classical radiation wave equation fail to predict the Compton effect? We address these issues and propose a clearer explanation.*

Traditional quantum mechanics textbook present the Compton effect as proof that the photon is a particle that carries momentum. The correct value of the Compton shift to longer wavelength is derived by applying relativistic two-body kinematics with the photon and electron treated as particles. This traditional explanation states wavelength shift occurs from "individual photons scattering elastically off individual electrons"^[2]. That the photon and the electron must be treated as particles when they scatter from each other means that any interaction between a photon and an electron necessarily collapse their wavefunctions. Yet X-ray diffraction treats photons as waves that interfere even after scattering from atoms' electrons. Their wavefunctions only collapse after they have been measured at a photographic plate.

In fact since no measurement occurs during the collision, the Compton effect's photon electron interaction should be analysed as the scattering of photon plane waves from an (approximately stationary) electron. Since both classical electromagnetism and quantum mechanics are wave theories that conserve energy and momentum one is led to wonder why classical radiation theory does not correctly predict the Compton effect. Classical electromagnetism is Lorentz invariant and electromagnetic waves do carry momentum and energy through the Poynting vector. With this reasoning it is puzzling why one does not derive a Compton-like effect if one treats the electron as a relativistic point particle scattering radiation. The reason is that in classical radiation the missing energy-momentum taken up

by the recoiling electron is distributed throughout the entire wave. When the wave reaches our detector it all carries part of the entire energy-momentum. However in a quantum theory, this is where a measurement is taking place. In other words this is where a low intensity wave collapses into one particle. The entire missing energy and momentum must now be carried by this one photon since the rest of the wavefunction is now zero in the rest of the 4π solid angle encompassed by our detector.

This explanation is very different from the traditional one where the photon's wavefunction collapses at the interaction point not at the detector. The traditional explanation gives the impression that sometimes interactions take place as particles and sometimes as waves. Which point of view to take remains a mystery. The explanation proposed here removes the mystery from it all. In the quantum world all interactions happen as waves. Particle interpretations occur only in the detector.

If this proposed explanation is correct we should be able to pinpoint the quantum explanation where the observed particle number enters in. If this number is one we recover the Compton effect. If this number is taken to infinity we recover classical radiation theory, i.e. Thompson scattering.

We begin by considering the scattering of two wave packets. Regardless of whether we are working within quantum mechanics or a classical wave theory such as electromagnetism, the definition of the differential cross section is the same. Detectors in both cases detect energy. The energy deposited in the detector can be interpreted as a wave intensity or as a particle's quanta of energy. Energy flow can refer to classical energy flow or particle number flow. The flow through a surface is given by the relevant current conservation equation; the continuity equation in classical electromagnetism or the probability conservation equation in quantum mechanics. The scattered energy into a particular solid angle per unit time, is proportional to the incident energy passing through a unit area per unit time. The proportionality constant is defined as the differential cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi d\Omega} = \frac{[\text{scattered energy}]/[(\text{solid angle})(\text{time})]}{[\text{incident energy}]/[(\text{area})(\text{time})]} \quad (1)$$

This cross section formula can be factorized into two parts; a density into final states part $\rho(E)$, and a transition matrix element part $|\langle\alpha|T|\beta\rangle|^2$. In quantum mechanics this factorized formula goes by the name of Fermi's Golden Rule, but it's validity is beyond quantum mechanics and is true for all wave phenomena, be they quantum waves or classical waves.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi d\Omega} = \rho(E) \times |\langle\alpha|T|\beta\rangle|^2 \quad (2)$$

The density into final states includes the number of particles we are trying to detect and their kinematics. The transition matrix element is what is calculated in time dependent perturbation theory. This contains the dynamical details of the

interactions. The important point is that the Compton effect depends on the density of states, i.e. the kinematics, not the dynamical details of the interaction. It is the density of final states that will change depending on whether we are trying to detect one photon versus many (i.e. a wave). If the density of states is for one photon and one electron we will recover the Klein-Nishina formula for the Compton effect. If the density of states is for many many photons and one electron, we recover Thompson formula for the scattering of radiation by a free electron. In other words the quantum mechanical wave representing many photons can now be interpreted as a classical wave.

It is easy to understand why the many particle limit is equivalent to the low energy limit usually quoted in texts as a way of recovering Thompson scattering from Compton scattering. The kinematics in the density of states depends on the number of final particles. The initial scattering is always represented by two wave packets. Hence the kinematics of energy-momentum conservation will always be equivalent to that of two particles (a photon and an electron) scattering into N final particles. If N is large then the particles individual energy must necessarily be small since the initial energy remains constant.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Guy Moore for valuable discussions and Jim Cline for proofreading the text.

References

- [1] Permanent Address: Marianopolis College, 3880 Côte-des-Neiges, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3H 1W1
- [2] S. Gasiorowicz *Quantum Physics* [John Wiley & Sons, 2003] p7.